

Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 117 (1997) 83-89



# Fe(TPA)-catalyzed alkane hydroxylation can be a metal-based oxidation

# Jinheung Kim, Cheal Kim, Roger G. Harrison, Elizabeth C. Wilkinson, Lawrence Que Jr. \*

Department of Chemistry and Center for Metals in Biocatalysis, University of Minnesota, Minnesota, 55455. Minnesota, USA

Received 30 July 1996; accepted 21 August 1996

#### Abstract

The hydroxylation of cyclohexane by t-butyl hydroperoxide using  $[Fe_2O(TPA)_2(H_2O)_2]^{4-}$  (1, TPA = tris(2pyridylmethyl)amine) as the catalyst has been investigated with the use of a syringe pump to control the concentration of the alkyl hydroperoxide. Unlike an alkoxy radical-based radical chain autoxidation mechanism, the reaction observed produces only alcohol (no ketone) with a deuterium kinetic isotope effect of 10, similar to heme-catalyzed hydroxylations. An alkylperoxoiron(III) intermediate can be trapped at  $-40^{\circ}$ C and characterized by a number of spectroscopic methods. A mechanistic scheme is proposed involving this intermediate which either serves as the precursor to the metal-based oxidant or oxidizes the substrate directly.

Keywords: Nonheme iron complexes; Oxygen activation; Alkylperoxide intermediates

Nonheme iron complexes have recently been investigated as catalysts for cyclohexane oxidation to model the reactivity of nonheme iron centers in enzymes such as methane monooxygenase [1–15]. A subset of these typically use a ( $\mu$ -oxo)diiron(III) complex in concert with an alkyl hydroperoxide, usually t-butyl hydroperoxide, to afford good yields of cyclohexanol and cyclohexanone [8–14]. We have carried out a systematic investigation of Fe(TPA)<sup>1</sup> complexes and proposed the involvement of a metal-based oxidant, either a metal-peroxide intermediate or a high-valent iron-oxo species derived therefrom in the alkane oxidation reactions [11-14], i.e.,

$$R-H + (LFe^{III} - OOR \text{ or } LFe^{V} = O)$$
  

$$\rightarrow R \cdot + LFe^{IV} - OH \rightarrow R - OH + LFe^{III} \quad (1)$$

in a mechanism somewhat analogous to that attributed to the heme center in cytochrome P450. Evidence to support this hypothesis included the interception of the oxidant by dimethyl sulfide producing dimethyl sulfoxide and, more importantly, the modulation of the selectivity of the catalyst by the metal ligands as indicated by deuterium isotope effects and adamantane  $3^{\circ}/2^{\circ}$  ratios [11,12].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-612-6250389; fax: +1-612-6247029; e-mail: QUE@chem.umn.edu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup> Abbreviations: CmOOH, cumene hydroperoxide; EDTA, ethylenediamine-*N*, *N*, *N'*, *N'*-tetraacetate; TMP, *meso*-tetramesitylporphinate dianion; TPA, tris(2-pyridylmethyl)amine; TPP, *meso*tetraphenylporphinate dianion.

Alternatively, the alkane oxidations may involve an alkoxy radical derived from the metalcatalyzed decomposition of the alkyl hydroperoxide, which can then initiate a radical chain autoxidation mechanism in the presence of oxygen. Arends et al. [15] in particular have favored the latter mechanism on the basis of three observations: (a) that the alcohol-to-ketone (A/K)ratios reported for the Fe(TPA) catalysts were typically 1:1 or favored ketone formation; (b) that a vigorous Ar purge significantly decreased the amount of oxidized products; and (c) that the use of 2-methyl-1-phenyl-2-propyl hydroperoxide in place of tBuOOH did not afford substrate oxidation because of the propensity of the corresponding alkoxy radical to undergo β-cleavage before being able to react with the substrate. The approximate 1:1 A/K ratio observed for these reactions suggested the involvement of alkylperoxy radicals which can disproportionate via Russell termination steps [16], such as

$$2C_6H_{11}OO \cdot \rightarrow C_6H_{11}OH + C_6H_{10}O + O_2$$
(2)

$$C_{6}H_{11}OO \cdot + tBuOO \cdot$$
  

$$\rightarrow C_{6}H_{10}O + tBuOH + O_{2}$$
(3)

to yield ketone in amounts at least equal to those of alcohol. The alkylperoxy radicals could derive from a series of steps initiated by the Haber–Weiss decomposition of tBuOOH by the Fe(TPA) catalyst, i.e.,

$$Fe^{II}(TPA) + tBuOOH$$
  

$$\rightarrow Fe^{II}(TPA) + tBuOO \cdot + H^{+}$$
(4)

 $Fe^{II}(TPA) + tBuOOH$ 

$$\rightarrow \text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(\text{TPA}) + \text{tBuO} \cdot + \text{OH}^{-}$$
 (5)

$$tBuO \cdot + tBuOOH \rightarrow tBuOH + tBuOO \cdot (6)$$

$$2tBuOO \cdot \rightarrow 2tBuO \cdot + O_2 \tag{7}$$

$$tBuO \cdot + R - H \rightarrow tBuOH + R \cdot$$
(8)

$$R \cdot + O_2 \to ROO \cdot \tag{9}$$

Thus, the alcohol and ketone products formed by this mechanism would derive solely from an  $O_2$ -dependent reaction.

While the arguments put forth by Arends et al. [15] persuasively implicate a radical chain autoxidation mechanism, this mechanism does not satisfactorily rationalize the effect of dimethyl sulfide nor the ligand dependence of catalyst selectivity [11,12]. We have thus sought to find conditions under which the radical chain autoxidation mechanism may be suppressed. Since the source of  $O_2$  in the radical chain autoxidation mechanism is the bimolecular decomposition of t-butylperoxy radical (Eq. (7)), the amount of  $O_2$  evolved may be minimized by reducing the concentration of the t-butyl hydroperoxide precursor.

A typical catalytic reaction consisted of a mixture of the catalyst,  $[Fe_2O(TPA)_2(H_2O)_2]^{4+}$  (1), tBuOOH, and cyclohexane in a 1:150:1000 ratio in CH<sub>3</sub>CN under Ar, and the alkyl hydroperoxide was consumed within 15 min. Usually the 150 eq. of tBuOOH were added all at once at the beginning of the reaction, so a significant amount of O<sub>2</sub> was evolved [11–14]. Under these conditions, 1 afforded 8 eq. cyclohexanol (*A*) and 16 eq. cyclohexanone (*K*) for an *A*/*K* ratio of 0.5 and a conversion efficiency of 16% from *R*OOH (Table 1, run #1). In addition, 16 eq. cyclohexyl t-butyl peroxide were produced, presumably from a radical-radical combination reaction (Eq. (10)) or its equivalent.

$$R \cdot + tBuOO \cdot \rightarrow R - OOtBu \tag{10}$$

If the concentration of alkyl hydroperoxide was controlled by delivering the 150 eq. tBuOOH via syringe pump over the course of the reaction, the formation of alcohol was favored over ketone, making the A/K ratio 2 (Table 1, run #2). Further decreases of the concentration of tBuOOH reduced the yields of ketone and dialkyl peroxide significantly and increased the efficiency of conversion of tBuOOH to product alcohol dramatically (Fig. 1) [14]. With 10 eq. tBuOOH or less, *alcohol was the only product observed in the reaction!* 

Product	distributions for the o	xidation of cyclonexane t	by I				
Run	tBuOOH (eq.)	Syringe pumped <sup>b</sup>	A	K	A / K	% Conversion °	tBuOOCy
1	150	no <sup>d</sup>	8	16	0.5	16	16
2	150	yes	18	9	2.0	18	21
3	50	yes	10	1.0	10	22	5.5
4	20	yes	5.5	0.3	18	30	0.4
4a	20/air	yes	3.7	4.4	0.8	41	0.1
5	10	yes	4.0	-		40	
5a	$10/Me_{2}S^{e}$	yes	0.3	_		38 °	-
6	1	yes	0.55	-		55	-

Table 1 Product distributions for the oxidation of cyclohexane by  $1^{a}$ 

<sup>a</sup> Values reported as mol product/mol catalyst. Reaction conditions: 0.7 mM complex, 0.7 M cyclohexane, 3 ml CH<sub>3</sub>CN solvent, 25°C under argon. A = cyclohexanol, K = cyclohexanone and tBuOOCy = t-butylcyclohexyl peroxide.

<sup>b</sup> 0.3 ml of an appropriately diluted tBuOOH solution in CH<sub>3</sub>CN was delivered by syringe pump over the time course of the reaction.

<sup>c</sup> % conversion of the initial ROOH.

 $^{\rm d}$  All 150 eq. tBuOOH added at once at the start of the reaction.

 $^{\rm e}$  50 eq. of Me\_S added; 3.5 eq. Me\_SO formed in the reaction.

The diminishing amounts of ketone and dialkyl peroxide observed in the syringe pump experiments indicate that the radical chain autoxidation mechanism is becoming less favored under these conditions. Indeed when one of the syringe pump experiments was carried out in the presence of air, an A/K ratio close to unity was obtained instead (Table 1, run #4a). This result shows that alkyl radicals are formed in the reaction and that they can be trapped by  $O_2$ if it were present. However, the predominant production of alcohol (A/K of 18) in the corresponding run #4 under Ar (Table 1, run #4) demonstrates that little or no  $O_2$  is formed



Fig. 1. Effect of the change in tBuOOH concentration on cyclohexane (0.7 M) oxidation by  $[Fe_2O(TPA)_2(H_2O)_2]^{4+}$  (0.7 mM) (1) and tBuOOH in CH<sub>3</sub>CN at 25°C under Ar. The % conversion values plotted correspond to the run numbers listed in Table 1.

and trapped by the nascent alkyl radical under these conditions.

Further evidence against the radical chain autoxidation mechanism derives from kinetic isotope effect experiments [14]. In such a mechanism, the hydrogen abstraction agent is t-butoxy radical, which is typically associated with a  $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$  value of 4; we have confirmed this value for cyclohexane/cyclohexane- $d_{12}$  as formed under our solvent conditions with di-tbutyl peroxalate as the tBuO  $\cdot$  precursor (Table 2). Under the syringe pump conditions, the hydroxylation of cyclohexane/cyclohexane- $d_{12}$  by 1/10 eq. tBuGOH or CmOOH afforded a  $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$  value of 10, which is inconsistent with an alkoxy radical as the hydrogen abstraction. The similarity of this value to those (10-13)found for iron porphyrin catalysts (Table 2)

Table 2

Kinetic isotope effects on the oxidation of cyclohexane/cyclohexane- $d_{12}$  (1/1) by various oxidants

Oxidant	$\frac{k_{\rm H}}{k_{\rm D}}$	Ref.	
tBuO· a	3.9	[14]	
1/tBuOOH (10 eq.) <sup>b</sup>	10	[14]	
1/CmOOH (10 eq.) <sup>b</sup>	10	[14]	
TPPFeC1/PhIO	13	[17]	
TMPFeCl/NaOCl	10	[18]	
$[Ru^{V}(EDTA)=O]^{-}$	11	[19]	
$[Ru^{V}(EDTA)=O]^{-}$	10	[19]	

<sup>a</sup> tBuO· radical generated from di-t-butyl peroxalate.

<sup>b</sup> 10 eq. ROOH delivered by syringe pump.

[17,18], which are believed to operate via a high-valent metal-oxo species [20-22], argues strongly for the involvement of a metal-based oxidant.

The notion of a metal-based oxidant was further supported by the observation that Me<sub>2</sub>S can intercept the oxidizing species. The addition of 50 eq. Me<sub>2</sub>S to the 10 eq. tBuOOH run (Table 1, run #5a) significantly diminished the yield of product alcohol, and Me<sub>2</sub>SO was formed instead in an amount corresponding to that of alcohol that would have been formed in the absence of Me<sub>2</sub>S. Such a conversion would be characteristic of a two-electron oxidant like a metal-oxo species, analogous to that implicated in heme-catalyzed oxidations [22]. However, stabilizing a formally Fe<sup>V</sup> species may be rather difficult in a nonheme environment, so an alternative to consider may be an  $Fe^{III}$ -OOR species, which would contain the same number of oxidizing equivalents as the putative  $Fe^{V}=O$ species.

Recently, we have identified conditions under which such an alkylperoxoiron(III) intermediate can be trapped [23,24]. The reaction of 1 with tBuOOH in CH<sub>3</sub>CN at  $-40^{\circ}$ C afforded a transient blue intermediate 2 ( $\lambda_{max} = 598$  nm,  $\epsilon = 1500$  M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>). Intermediate 2 has been formulated as  $[Fe(TPA)(OOtBu)(H_2O)]^{2+}$  on the basis of its electrospray ionization mass spectra showing positive (Fig. 2a) and negative molecular ions with the correct mass and isotope distribution patterns, i.e., {[Fe(TPA)(OOtBu)(H<sub>2</sub>O)]  $(ClO_4)^{\dagger}^+$  and  $\{[Fe(TPA)(OOtBu)(H_2O)]\}$  $(ClO_4)_3$ , respectively. The mononuclear nature of the blue species was corroborated by its EPR signal at g = 2.19, 2.14, and 1.98 (Fig. 2b), typical of a low-spin Fe<sup>III</sup> center and with a signal intensity that corresponded to 80% of the iron in the sample. Evidence that the t-butylperoxy moiety remained intact in 2 was derived from the observation of resonance-enhanced vibrations at 490, 696, and 796  $cm^{-1}$  (Fig. 2c), all of which were sensitive to the deuteration of



Fig. 2. Spectroscopic properties of  $[Fe(TPA)(OOtBu)(H_2O)]^{2+}$  (2). (a) Positive ion electrospray ionization mass spectral data. Calculated isotope patterns are represented by the bars under the peak cluster. (b) EPR spectrum in CH<sub>3</sub>CN at -40°C under Ar. (c) Resonance Raman spectrum in CH<sub>3</sub>CN. Peaks labeled s and derive from solvent and decomposed product, respectively.



the alkyl group. Thus, dinuclear 1 is converted to mononuclear 2 upon treatment with tBuOOH, i.e.,

$$[Fe_2O(TPA)_2(H_2O)_2]^{4+} + 2tBuOOH$$
  

$$\rightarrow 2[Fe(TPA)(OOtBu)(H_2O)]^{2+} + H_2O$$
(11)

The participation of 2 in the oxidation of alcohols was established by kinetic studies. We found that 2 decayed in a pseudo first order process in the presence of alcohols. The rate of decay of the blue chromophore was dependent on the concentration of alcohol but reached a maximum at high alcohol concentrations. This has been interpreted to indicate the presence of a prior equilibrium involving the binding of the alcohol to the metal center in 2, presumably displacing the water ligand. Furthermore, the first order decay of 2 was significantly inhibited when benzyl alcohol- $d_7$  was used in place of benzyl alcohol  $(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}=5)$  in these studies. Since the decay process being observed is that of the alkylperoxoiron(III) species, this significant deuterium isotope effect on the decomposition of 2 implies one of two mechanisms. Either the O-O bond is reversibly cleaved, followed by rate-determining C-H bond cleavage by the high-valent iron-oxo species, or C-H bond breaking occurs as the alkylperoxo O-O bond is cleaved (Scheme 1). Because reversible cleavage of an O-O bond seems less likely, we currently favor the latter mechanism, which involves an attractive six-membered ring transition state.

However, the same mechanism cannot apply to alkane oxidation, since alkanes cannot coordinate to the iron(III) center. We are presently carrying out corresponding studies to determine whether **2** directly abstracts hydrogen from alkane as illustrated in Scheme 2 or first converts to a high valent iron-oxo species, which then cleaves the substrate C-H bond.

Once formed from the reaction of substrate with the metal-based oxidant, the substrate alkyl radical may be trapped by a metal hydroxide species to form the alcohol product analogous to the rebound step proposed for heme-catalyzed hydroxylations [25]. In the heme case, this rebound step [26–28] is known to be faster than the diffusion-controlled rate for trapping alkyl radicals with  $O_2$  [29]. However, the fact that the syringe pump experiment for 1/20 eq. tBuOOH when carried out in air (Table 1, run #4a)



afforded both alcohol and ketone with a ratio close to one showed that alkylperoxy radicals derived from substrate and  $O_2$  were involved in that particular reaction. Thus, the so-called 'rebound' step in this nonheme system appears to be slower than  $O_2$  trapping of the nascent alkyl radicals.

In summary, we have determined conditions under which the Fe(TPA)-catalyzed alkane hydroxylation is a metal-based oxidation, in which cyclohexane is converted to cyclohexanol alone with a deuterium kinetic isotope effect of 10. The metal-based oxidant in this scheme is an alkylperoxoiron(III) species, which can be trapped at  $-40^{\circ}$ C and has been characterized by a number of spectroscopic techniques. Kinetic studies show that this intermediate can oxidize alcohols and suggest that O-O bond breaking is contemporaneous with the cleavage of the alcohol C-H bond. Whether this mechanistic notion can be extended to alkanes awaits further kinetic investigation.

# 1. Experimental procedure

# 1.1. Reactions

In a typical reaction, a 0.70 M solution of cyclohexane was reacted with 0.7-105 mM tBuOOH in acetonitrile in the presence of 0.70 mM catalyst at 25°C under 1 atm of oxygen-free argon. The reaction was quenched by addition of an equal volume of an aqueous 0.4 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution, followed by extraction with  $3 \times 2$  ml samples of diethyl ether. The ether layers were combined and dried with anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Chlorobenzene was added at this point as an internal standard, and the mixture was analyzed by gas chromatography. Retention times for product peaks were compared directly to known standard compounds and confirmed by GC-MS. The syringe pump experiments were carried out in like manner using a Harvard Apparatus syringe pump. To a reaction solution was added 0.3 ml of 7-105 mM tBuOOH in acetonitrile by syringe pump over 15 min at 25°C. The reaction

solution was stirred for an additional 5 min to ensure complete reaction.

# 1.2. Kinetics

The decrease of the 598 nm band of **2** was monitored on a Hewlett–Packard 8452A diode array spectrometer fitted for low-temperature measurements with a Dewar with quartz windows cooled by a Neslab Cryocool CC-100II immersion cooler.

# 1.3. Spectroscopic methods

Electrospray ionization mass spectra were acquired using a PE Sciex API III triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Norwalk, CT). Samples in CH<sub>3</sub>CN were infused into an Upchurch Scientific metal-free static mixing tee (Oak Harbor, WA) as described in Ref. [30], each delivering 20  $\mu$ l min<sup>-1</sup> of solution to the mixing tee. EPR spectra were obtained at liquid helium temperature on a Varian E-109 spectrometer equipped with a Oxford cryostat. Resonance Raman spectra were recorded on a Spex 1403 double monochromator interfaced with a Spex DM3000 data system using a Spectra Physics 2030-15 argon ion laser, and a 375B CW dye laser running Rhodamine 6G dye. Spectra were obtained at 77 K using a backscattering geometry, and  $\lambda_{ex} =$ 599 nm. Samples were frozen onto a gold-plated copper cold finger in thermal contact with a Dewar containing liquid nitrogen [31]. Raman shifts were referenced to  $\nu_1$  of frozen CH<sub>3</sub>CN at 922 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

# Acknowledgements

This work was supported by funds from the National Institutes of Health (Grant No. GM-38767).

#### References

 D.H.R. Barton and D. Doller, Acc. Chem. Res. 25 (1992) 504.

- [2] D.H.R. Barton, S.D. Bévière, W. Chavasiri, E. Csuhai, D. Doller and W.-G. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114 (1992) 2147.
- [3] D.H.R. Barton, S.D. Bévière, W. Chavasiri, D. Doller and B. Hu, Tetrahedron Lett. 33 (1992) 5473.
- [4] W. Nam and J.S. Valentine, New J. Chem. 13 (1989) 677.
- [5] C. Knight and M.J. Perkins, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. (1991) 925.
- [6] C. Sheu, A. Sobkowiak, S. Jeon and D.T. Sawyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112 (1990) 879.
- [7] C. Kang, C. Redman, V. Cepak and D.T. Sawyer, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 1 (1993) 125.
- [8] J.B. Vincent, J.C. Huffman, G. Christou, Q. Li, M.A. Nanny, D.N. Hendrickson, R.H. Fong and R.H. Fish, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110 (1988) 6898.
- [9] R.H. Fish, M.S. Konings, K.J. Oberhausen, R.H. Fong, W.M. Yu, G. Christou, J.B. Vincent, D.K. Coggin and R.M. Buchanan, Inorg. Chem. 30 (1991) 3002.
- [10] S. Ménage, J.-M. Vincent, C. Lambeaux, G. Chottard, A. Grand and M. Fontecave, Inorg. Chem. 32 (1993) 4766.
- [11] R.A. Leising, J. Kim, M.A. Perez and L. Que, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115 (1993) 9524.
- [12] T. Kojima, R.A. Leising, S. Yan and L. Que, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115 (1993) 11328.
- [13] R.A. Leising, R.E. Norman and L. Que, Jr., Inorg. Chem. 21 (1990) 2553.
- [14] J. Kim, R.G. Harrison, C. Kim, and L. Que, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118 (1996) 4373.
- [15] I.W.C.E. Arends, K.U. Ingold and D.D.M. Wayner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117 (1995) 4710.
- [16] G.A. Russell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 79 (1957) 3871.

- [17] J.T. Groves and T.E. Nemo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105 (1983) 6243.
- [18] A. Sorokin, A. Robert and B. Meunier, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115 (1993) 7293.
- [19] M.M. Taqui Khan, Dioxygen Activation and Homogeneous Catalytic Oxidation, Vol. 66 (Elsevier, New York, 1991) pp. 31–45.
- [20] J.T. Groves and Y. Watanabe, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108 (1986) 507.
- [21] T.G. Traylor, J.C. Marsters, Jr., T. Nakano and B.E. Dunlap, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107 (1985) 5537.
- [22] R. Labeque and L.J. Marnett, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111 (1989) 6621.
- [23] J. Kim, E. Larka, E.C. Wilkinson and L. Que, Jr., Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 34 (1995) 2048.
- [24] S. Ménage, M. Fontecave, E.C. Wilkinson and L. Que, Jr., Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 34 (1995) 203.
- [25] J.T. Groves, J. Chem. Ed. 62 (1985) 928.
- [26] P. Inchley, J.R. Lindsay Smith and R.J. Lower, New. J. Chem. 13 (1989) 669.
- [27] V.W. Bowry and K.U. Ingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113 (1991) 5699.
- [28] R.G. Harrison, unpublished results.
- [29] N.A. Porter, K.A. Mills, S.E. Caldwell and G.R. Dubay, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116 (1994) 6697.
- [30] J. Kim, Y. Dong, E. Larka and L. Que. Jr., Inorg. Chem. 35 (1996) 2369.
- [31] R.C. Czernuszewicz and M.K. Johnson, Appl. Spectrosc. 37 (1983) 297.